

DRESSING FOR A NOVEL INTEGRABLE GENERALIZATION OF THE NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

JONATAN LENELLS

ABSTRACT. We implement the dressing method for a novel integrable generalization of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. As an application, explicit formulas for the N -soliton solutions are derived. As a by-product of the analysis, we find a simplification of the formulas for the N -solitons of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation given by Huang and Chen.

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2000): 35Q55, 37K15.

KEYWORDS: Integrable system, inverse spectral theory, dressing method, solitons.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the following integrable generalization of the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation, which was first derived in [2] by means of bi-Hamiltonian methods:

$$(1.1) \quad iu_t - \nu u_{tx} + \gamma u_{xx} + \sigma |u|^2(u + i\nu u_x) = 0, \quad \sigma = \pm 1,$$

where γ and ν are nonzero real parameters and $u(x, t)$ is a complex-valued function. Equation (1.1) reduces to the NLS equation when $\nu = 0$. However, the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$ is in many respects singular, and the analysis of (1.1) is rather different from that of NLS. Equation (1.1) appears as a model for nonlinear pulse propagation in optical fibers provided that one retains certain terms of the next asymptotic order beyond those necessary for the NLS equation [2, 5]. From a bi-Hamiltonian point of view, equation (1.1) is related to NLS in the same way that the celebrated Camassa-Holm equation [1] is related to KdV [2]. Being integrable, (1.1) admits a Lax pair formulation and the initial-value problem on the line can be analyzed by means of the inverse scattering transform (IST) [6]. The spectral analysis of (1.1) is closely related to that of the derivative NLS equation. In fact, equation (1.1) is related by a gauge transformation to the first negative member of the integrable hierarchy associated with the derivative NLS equation [5]. The initial-boundary value problem for equation (1.1) on the half-line was studied in [7].

Here, we implement the dressing method for equation (1.1) and derive, as an application of the general approach, explicit formulas for the N -soliton solutions. The dressing method is a technique which generates new solutions of an integrable equation from an already known seed solution cf. [8]. In our implementation of the dressing method to equation (1.1), we start with a seed solution u_0 together with a corresponding eigenfunction ψ_0 of the Lax pair. A new eigenfunction $\psi = G\psi_0$ is constructed by multiplying ψ_0 by a 2×2 -matrix G which contains an even number of poles in the spectral parameter. It is shown that ψ satisfies the same Lax pair as ψ_0 but with the potential u_0 replaced with

a new potential $u(x, t)$. The compatibility of the Lax pair equations then implies that $u(x, t)$ also is a solution of (1.1).

An expression for the one-soliton solution of (1.1) was found in [6] by solving directly the Riemann-Hilbert problem associated with the inverse problem in the presence of two poles and no jump (each soliton contributes *two* poles to the Riemann-Hilbert problem because the poles are of necessity symmetrically distributed with respect to the origin). Applying the dressing approach to the particular seed solution $u_0 = 0$ we recover the one-soliton solution and also find an explicit expression for the N -soliton solution for any N .

A convenient Lax pair for (1.1) is introduced in Section 2, while the implementation of the dressing method is described in detail in Section 3. In Section 4 we derive explicit expressions for the N -solitons. Finally, in Section 5, motivated by the analysis of (1.1), we find a simplification of the formula for the N -soliton solution given by Huang and Chen [3] of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger (DNLS) equation

$$(1.2) \quad iq_t + q_{xx} + i(|q|^2 q)_x = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The connection between equations (1.1) and (1.2) arises because the x -parts of the corresponding Lax pairs are identical upon identification of u_x with q .

2. A LAX PAIR

It was noted in [5] that the nonzero values of the parameters γ, ν, σ in (1.1) can be arbitrarily assigned via a change of variables. We will therefore, for simplicity, henceforth assume that $\gamma = \nu = \sigma = 1$. Then the transformation $u \rightarrow e^{ix}u$ converts (1.1) into the equation

$$(2.1) \quad u_{tx} + u - 2iu_x - u_{xx} - i|u|^2 u_x = 0,$$

which admits the following Lax pair [6]:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_x + i\frac{1}{\zeta^2}\sigma_3\psi = \frac{1}{\zeta}U_x\psi, \\ \psi_t + i\left(\frac{1}{\zeta} - \frac{\zeta}{2}\right)^2\sigma_3\psi = \left(\frac{1}{\zeta}U_x - \frac{i}{2}\sigma_3U^2 + \frac{i\zeta}{2}\sigma_3U\right)\psi, \end{cases}$$

where $\psi(x, t, \zeta)$ is a 2×2 -matrix valued eigenfunction, $\zeta \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ is a spectral parameter, and

$$(2.3) \quad U(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u(x, t) \\ -\bar{u}(x, t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will assume that ψ obeys the symmetries

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma_3\psi(x, t, -\zeta)\sigma_3 = \psi(x, t, \zeta), \quad \psi^{-1}(x, t, \zeta) = \psi^\dagger(x, t, \bar{\zeta}).$$

A solution ψ of (2.2) satisfying (2.4) can be constructed as follows: Assuming that u has sufficient smoothness and decay, there exists a solution of (2.2) which tends to $\exp(-i\theta\sigma_3)$ at infinity, where

$$(2.5) \quad \theta := \theta(x, t, \zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta^2}x + \left(\frac{1}{\zeta} - \frac{\zeta}{2}\right)^2 t.$$

This suggests the transformation $\psi = \Psi e^{-i\theta\sigma_3}$ and then Ψ solves

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} \Psi_x + i\frac{1}{\zeta^2}[\sigma_3, \Psi] = \frac{1}{\zeta}U_x\Psi, \\ \Psi_t + i\left(\frac{1}{\zeta} - \frac{\zeta}{2}\right)^2[\sigma_3, \Psi] = \left(\frac{1}{\zeta}U_x - \frac{i}{2}\sigma_3U^2 + \frac{i\zeta}{2}\sigma_3U\right)\Psi. \end{cases}$$

We define two solutions Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 of (2.6) via the linear Volterra integral equations

$$(2.7a) \quad \Psi_1(x, t, \zeta) = I + \int_{-\infty}^x e^{i\zeta^{-2}(x'-x)\hat{\sigma}_3} \zeta^{-1}(U_x\Psi_1)(x', t, \zeta) dx',$$

$$(2.7b) \quad \Psi_2(x, t, \zeta) = I - \int_x^{\infty} e^{i\zeta^{-2}(x'-x)\hat{\sigma}_3} \zeta^{-1}(U_x\Psi_2)(x', t, \zeta) dx',$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_3$ acts on a 2×2 matrix A by $\hat{\sigma}_3 A = [\sigma_3, A]$. The second columns of these equations involves $\exp[2i\zeta^{-2}(x' - x)]$. It follows that the second column vectors of Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 are well-defined and analytic for $\zeta \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that ζ^2 lies in the upper and lower half-planes, respectively. Moreover, these column vectors have continuous extensions to $\zeta \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \infty\}$. Similar remarks apply to the first column vectors.

We define a solution ψ of (2.2) by

$$(2.8) \quad \psi(x, t, \zeta) = \begin{cases} ([\Psi_2]_1, [\Psi_1]_2) e^{-i\theta\sigma_3}, & \text{Im } \zeta^2 \geq 0, \\ ([\Psi_1]_1, [\Psi_2]_2) e^{-i\theta\sigma_3}, & \text{Im } \zeta^2 < 0, \end{cases}$$

where $([\Psi_2]_1, [\Psi_1]_2)$ denotes the matrix consisting of the first column of Ψ_2 together with the second column of Ψ_1 etc. Then ψ admits the symmetries in (2.4). Indeed, uniqueness of solution of (2.2) implies that there exist matrices $S_1(\zeta)$ and $S_2(\zeta)$ independent of x, t such that

$$\sigma_3\psi(x, t, -\zeta)\sigma_3 = \psi(x, t, \zeta)S_1(\zeta), \quad \psi^{-1}(x, t, \zeta) = S_2(\zeta)\psi^\dagger(x, t, \bar{\zeta}),$$

and evaluation of these equations as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ using (2.7) shows that $S_1 = S_2 = I$.

As a function of ζ , the eigenfunction ψ defined by (2.8) is singular for $\zeta \in \{0, \infty\}$ and has a jump across the contour $\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$ of the form $\psi_- = \psi_+ J(\zeta)$, where $\psi_\pm$ denote the values of ψ on the left and right sides of the contour and $J(\zeta)$ is a 2×2 jump matrix independent of x and t . However, these singularities are inconsequential for the arguments below (which use the combinations $\psi_x\psi^{-1}$ and $\psi_t\psi^{-1}$). In fact, Liouville's theorem implies that ψ , in general, cannot be analytic over the whole Riemann ζ -sphere.

3. THE DRESSING METHOD

Starting from a seed solution u_0 of (2.1) and a corresponding eigenfunction ψ_0 obeying the symmetries (2.4),¹ we seek a ‘dressed’ eigenfunction ψ of the form

$$(3.1) \quad \psi = G\psi_0,$$

¹As outlined in the previous section, such a ψ_0 can be constructed from u_0 using only linear operations.

where the 2×2 -matrix valued function G has the form

$$(3.2) \quad G(x, t, \zeta) = I + \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\frac{A_j(x, t)}{\zeta - \zeta_j} - \frac{\sigma_3 A_j(x, t) \sigma_3}{\zeta + \zeta_j} \right].$$

Here $\{\zeta_j, -\zeta_j\}_1^N \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ is a collection of simple poles with corresponding residues $\{A_j(x, t), -\sigma_3 A_j(x, t) \sigma_3\}_1^N$. The form (3.2) of G is motivated by the condition that $G \rightarrow I$ as $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ and by the symmetry

$$(3.3) \quad \sigma_3 G(x, t, -\zeta) \sigma_3 = G(x, t, \zeta),$$

which ascertains that the first symmetry in (2.4) is preserved by (3.1). Moreover, in order for the second symmetry in (2.4) to be preserved by (3.1), we require that

$$(3.4) \quad G^{-1}(x, t, \zeta) = G^\dagger(x, t, \bar{\zeta}),$$

i.e.

$$(3.5) \quad G^{-1}(x, t, \zeta) = I + \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\frac{A_j^\dagger(x, t)}{\zeta - \bar{\zeta}_j} - \frac{\sigma_3 A_j^\dagger(x, t) \sigma_3}{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}_j} \right].$$

It follows from (3.2) and (3.5) that G and G^{-1} are analytic at both $\zeta = 0$ and $\zeta = \infty$.

The goal now is to show that ψ satisfies (2.2) for some matrix U of the form (2.3). The compatibility of the Lax pair equations will then show that $u := U_{12}$ is a solution of equation (2.1).

Differentiation of (3.1) with respect to x and t followed by multiplication by $\psi^{-1} = \psi_0^{-1} G^{-1}$ leads to

$$(3.6a) \quad \psi_x \psi^{-1} = G_x G^{-1} + G \psi_{0x} \psi_0^{-1} G^{-1}$$

and

$$(3.6b) \quad \psi_t \psi^{-1} = G_t G^{-1} + G \psi_{0t} \psi_0^{-1} G^{-1},$$

respectively.

3.1. Analysis at $\zeta = 0$. We replace $\psi_{0x} \psi_0^{-1}$ and $\psi_{0t} \psi_0^{-1}$ in (3.6) with the following expressions which follow from the Lax pair equations satisfied by ψ_0 :

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_{0x} \psi_0^{-1} = -i \frac{1}{\zeta^2} \sigma_3 + \frac{1}{\zeta} U_{0x}, \\ \psi_{0t} \psi_0^{-1} = -i \left(\frac{1}{\zeta} - \frac{\zeta}{2} \right)^2 \sigma_3 + \frac{1}{\zeta} U_{0x} - \frac{i}{2} \sigma_3 U_0^2 + \frac{i\zeta}{2} \sigma_3 U_0. \end{cases}$$

Substituting into the resulting equations the expansions

$$G = G_0 + G_1 \zeta + O(\zeta^2), \quad G^{-1} = G_0^{-1} - G_0^{-1} G_1 G_0^{-1} \zeta + O(\zeta^2), \quad \zeta \rightarrow 0,$$

where $G_0(x, t)$ and $G_1(x, t)$ are independent of ζ , it follows that $\psi_x \psi^{-1}$ and $\psi_t \psi^{-1}$ have double poles at $\zeta = 0$; identification of terms of $O(1/\zeta^2)$ and $O(1/\zeta)$ yields

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_x \psi^{-1} &= \frac{Q_{-2}}{\zeta^2} + \frac{Q_{-1}}{\zeta} + O(1), & \zeta \rightarrow 0, \\ \psi_t \psi^{-1} &= \frac{Q_{-2}}{\zeta^2} + \frac{Q_{-1}}{\zeta} + O(1), & \zeta \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_{-2} &= -iG_0\sigma_3G_0^{-1}, \\ Q_{-1} &= iG_0\sigma_3G_0^{-1}G_1G_0^{-1} - iG_1\sigma_3G_0^{-1} + G_0U_{0x}G_0^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (3.2) we find the following expressions for G_0 and G_1 :

$$G_0 = I - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{A_j + \sigma_3 A_j \sigma_3}{\zeta_j}, \quad G_1 = - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{A_j - \sigma_3 A_j \sigma_3}{\zeta_j^2},$$

so that the matrices G_0 and G_1 are diagonal and off-diagonal, respectively. Thus the expressions for Q_{-2} and Q_{-1} in (3.9) simplify to

$$(3.10) \quad Q_{-2} = -i\sigma_3, \quad Q_{-1} = -2iG_1G_0^{-1}\sigma_3 + G_0U_{0x}G_0^{-1}.$$

In particular, Q_{-1} is an off-diagonal matrix.

3.2. Analysis at $\zeta = \infty$. We again replace $\psi_{0x}\psi_0^{-1}$ and $\psi_{0t}\psi_0^{-1}$ in (3.6) with the expressions in (3.7). Substituting into the resulting equations the expansions

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} G &= I + \frac{G_{-1}}{\zeta} + \frac{G_{-2}}{\zeta^2} + O(1/\zeta^3), \quad \zeta \rightarrow \infty, \\ G^{-1} &= I - \frac{G_{-1}}{\zeta} + \frac{G_{-1}^2 - G_{-2}}{\zeta^2} + O(1/\zeta^3), \quad \zeta \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where G_{-1} and G_{-2} are independent of ζ , it follows that $\psi_x\psi^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ whereas $\psi_t\psi^{-1}$ has a double pole at $\zeta = \infty$; identification of terms of $O(\zeta^n)$, $n = 0, 1, 2$, yields

$$\psi_t\psi^{-1} = -\frac{i}{4}\sigma_3\zeta^2 + Q_1\zeta + Q_0 + O(1/\zeta), \quad \zeta \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \frac{i}{4}[\sigma_3, G_{-1}] + \frac{i}{2}\sigma_3U_0, \\ Q_0 &= \frac{i}{4}[\sigma_3, G_{-2}] - \frac{i}{4}\sigma_3G_{-1}^2 + \frac{i}{4}G_{-1}\sigma_3G_{-1} + \frac{i}{2}G_{-1}\sigma_3U_0 - \frac{i}{2}\sigma_3U_0G_{-1} \\ &\quad + i\sigma_3 - \frac{i}{2}\sigma_3U_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (3.2) we find the following expressions for G_{-1} and G_{-2} :

$$(3.13) \quad G_{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^N (A_j - \sigma_3 A_j \sigma_3), \quad G_{-2} = \sum_{j=1}^N \zeta_j (A_j + \sigma_3 A_j \sigma_3),$$

so that the matrices G_{-1} and G_{-2} are off-diagonal and diagonal, respectively. Thus the expressions for Q_1 and Q_0 in (3.12) simplify to

$$(3.14) \quad Q_1 = \frac{i}{2}\sigma_3U, \quad Q_0 = -\frac{i}{2}U^2\sigma_3 + i\sigma_3,$$

where we have defined

$$(3.15) \quad U := G_{-1} + U_0.$$

Equations (3.5) and (3.11) yield the following alternative expression for G_{-1} :

$$G_{-1} = - \sum_{j=1}^N \left(A_j^\dagger - \sigma_3 A_j^\dagger \sigma_3 \right).$$

Thus $(G_{-1})_{21} = -\overline{(G_{-1})_{12}}$, so that U is of the form (2.3) with $u := (G_{-1})_{12} + u_0$.

3.3. The generated solution. It follows from the previous two subsections that the functions

$$(3.16a) \quad \psi_x \psi^{-1} - \left(\frac{Q_{-2}}{\zeta^2} + \frac{Q_{-1}}{\zeta} \right)$$

and

$$(3.16b) \quad \psi_t \psi^{-1} - \left(\frac{Q_{-2}}{\zeta^2} + \frac{Q_{-1}}{\zeta} + Q_0 + Q_1 \zeta - \frac{i}{4} \sigma_3 \zeta^2 \right)$$

are analytic near $\zeta = 0$ and $\zeta = \infty$. Thus, in view of (3.6) and the expressions (3.2) and (3.5) for G and G^{-1} , they are analytic on the whole Riemann ζ -sphere except possibly at points in the set $\{\pm\zeta_j, \pm\bar{\zeta}_j\}_1^N$. We claim that the A_j 's in (3.2) can be chosen so that the functions in (3.16) are analytic everywhere. Assume that such a choice has been made. Then, since the functions in (3.16) tend to zero as $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$, Liouville's theorem implies that they both vanish identically. Together with the expressions (3.10) and (3.14) for the coefficients Q_{-2}, Q_0, Q_1 , this implies that ψ satisfies the following pair of equations:

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_x + i \frac{1}{\zeta^2} \sigma_3 \psi = \frac{1}{\zeta} Q_{-1} \psi, \\ \psi_t + i \left(\frac{1}{\zeta} - \frac{\zeta}{2} \right)^2 \sigma_3 \psi = \left(\frac{1}{\zeta} Q_{-1} - \frac{i}{2} \sigma_3 U^2 + \frac{i\zeta}{2} \sigma_3 U \right) \psi, \end{cases}$$

where U has the form (2.3). Using that Q_{-1} is off-diagonal and identifying terms of $O(\zeta)$ in the compatibility equation $\psi_{xt} = \psi_{tx}$, we find that

$$(3.18) \quad Q_{-1} = U_x.$$

Consequently, (3.17) has exactly the form of the Lax pair (2.2) and its compatibility implies that the function $u = U_{12}$ satisfies (2.1). We infer from (3.13) and (3.15) that the generated solution $u(x, t)$ is given by

$$(3.19) \quad u(x, t) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^N (A_j(x, t))_{12} + u_0(x, t).$$

3.4. The dressing transformation. In order to complete the construction of the map $u_0 \mapsto u$, it only remains to determine the structure of the A_j 's in (3.19) so that the functions $\psi_x \psi^{-1}$ and $\psi_t \psi^{-1}$ are regular at the points $\{\pm\zeta_j, \pm\bar{\zeta}_j\}_1^N$. To this end we consider the following series of N consecutive dressing transformations, each of which adds two poles:

$$(3.20) \quad G = D_N D_{N-1} \cdots D_1, \quad \psi_j = D_j \psi_{j-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

where, for $j = 1, \dots, N$,

$$(3.21a) \quad D_j(x, t, \zeta) = I + \frac{B_j(x, t)}{\zeta - \zeta_j} - \frac{\sigma_3 B_j(x, t) \sigma_3}{\zeta + \zeta_j},$$

$$(3.21b) \quad D_j^{-1}(x, t, \zeta) = I + \frac{B_j^\dagger(x, t)}{\zeta - \bar{\zeta}_j} - \frac{\sigma_3 B_j^\dagger(x, t) \sigma_3}{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}_j},$$

and $\{B_j(x, t)\}_1^N$ are a set of 2×2 -matrix valued functions independent of ζ .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\{b_j\}_1^N$ be a set of N nonzero complex constants. Define the functions $B_j(x, t)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, inductively by*

$$(3.22) \quad B_j(x, t) = |z_j(x, t)\rangle \langle y_j(x, t)|,$$

where the column vector $|z_j\rangle = \langle z_j|^\dagger$ and the row vector $\langle y_j| = |y_j\rangle^\dagger$ are defined in terms of the $(j-1)$ th eigenfunction ψ_{j-1} by²

$$(3.23) \quad \langle y_j| = \begin{pmatrix} b_j & b_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \psi_{j-1}^{-1}(\zeta_j),$$

$$(3.24) \quad |z_j\rangle = \frac{\zeta_j^2 - \bar{\zeta}_j^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\alpha}_j \end{pmatrix} |y_j\rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha_j^{-1} = \langle y_j| \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_j & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\zeta}_j \end{pmatrix} |y_j\rangle.$$

Define $\psi := \psi_N$ by (3.20)-(3.24). Then the functions $\psi_x \psi^{-1}$ and $\psi_t \psi^{-1}$ are analytic at the points in the set $\{\pm \zeta_j, \pm \bar{\zeta}_j\}_1^N$.

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction. Suppose that ψ_{j-1} has been defined by (3.20)-(3.24) and that the functions $\psi_{j-1,x} \psi_{j-1}^{-1}$ and $\psi_{j-1,t} \psi_{j-1}^{-1}$ are analytic at $\zeta = \pm \zeta_i$ and $\zeta = \pm \bar{\zeta}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, j-1$. We will show that $\psi_{jx} \psi_j^{-1}$ and $\psi_{jt} \psi_j^{-1}$, where $\psi_j = D_j \psi_{j-1}$, are analytic at $\zeta = \pm \zeta_i$ and $\zeta = \pm \bar{\zeta}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, j$.

Differentiation of $\psi_j = D_j \psi_{j-1}$ yields

$$(3.25) \quad \psi_{jx} \psi_j^{-1} = D_{jx} D_j^{-1} + D_j \psi_{j-1,x} \psi_{j-1}^{-1} D_j^{-1}.$$

The assumption on ψ_{j-1} together with (3.21) implies that the right-hand side is analytic at the points $\{\pm \zeta_i, \pm \bar{\zeta}_i\}_1^{j-1}$. To show that $\psi_{jx} \psi_j^{-1}$ is regular at ζ_j , we note that (3.25) implies that $\psi_{jx} \psi_j^{-1}$ has at most a simple pole at ζ_j , and that

$$(3.26) \quad \text{Res}_{\zeta_j} (\psi_{jx} \psi_j^{-1}) = (B_j \psi_{j-1}(\zeta_j))_x \psi_{j-1}^{-1}(\zeta_j) D_j^{-1}(\zeta_j).$$

Using (3.22) and (3.23), we can write (3.26) as

$$\text{Res}_{\zeta_j} (\psi_{jx} \psi_j^{-1}) = |z_j\rangle_x \langle y_j| D_j^{-1}(\zeta_j).$$

We claim that

$$(3.27) \quad \langle y_j| D_j^{-1}(\zeta_j) = 0,$$

so that the residue vanishes. Indeed, by (3.21b),

$$(3.28) \quad \langle y_j| D_j^{-1}(\zeta_j) = \langle y_j| + \frac{\langle y_j| y_j \rangle}{\zeta_j - \bar{\zeta}_j} \langle z_j| - \frac{\langle y_j| \sigma_3 | y_j \rangle}{\zeta_j + \bar{\zeta}_j} \langle z_j| \sigma_3,$$

and the right-hand side of this equation vanishes by virtue of (3.24). Since the symmetry properties (2.4) are preserved by (3.20), we deduce that $\psi_{jx} \psi_j^{-1}$

²Here and in some equations below the (x, t) -dependence is suppressed.

is analytic also at $-\zeta_j$ and $\pm\bar{\zeta}_j$. Similar arguments establish the regularity of $\psi_{jt}\psi_j^{-1}$. $\square$

By (3.2) and (3.20),

$$(3.29) \quad A_j = \operatorname{Res}_{\zeta_j} G(\zeta) = D_N(\zeta_j) \dots D_{j+1}(\zeta_j) B_j D_{j-1}(\zeta_j) \dots D_1(\zeta_j).$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$(3.30) \quad \sigma_2 B_j^T(x, t) \sigma_2 = \frac{\zeta_j^2 - \bar{\zeta}_j^2}{2\zeta_j} D_j^{-1}(x, t, \zeta_j), \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(3.31) \quad \sigma_2 D_j(x, t, \zeta)^T \sigma_2 = \frac{\zeta^2 - \bar{\zeta}_j^2}{\zeta^2 - \zeta_j^2} D_j^{-1}(x, t, \zeta).$$

Using (3.30) and (3.31), the expression (3.29) for A_j can be written as

$$(3.32) \quad A_j(x, t) = \frac{1}{a_j} \sigma_2 G^{-1}(x, t, \zeta_j)^T \sigma_2$$

where the complex constants $\{a_j\}_1^N$ are defined by

$$(3.33) \quad a_j = \frac{2\zeta_j}{\zeta_j^2 - \bar{\zeta}_j^2} \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\zeta_j^2 - \zeta_k^2}{\zeta_j^2 - \bar{\zeta}_k^2}.$$

Substitution of (3.32) into the expression (3.2) for G yields

$$(3.34) \quad G(x, t, \zeta) = I + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{a_j} \left[\frac{\sigma_2 G^{-1}(x, t, \zeta_j)^T \sigma_2}{\zeta - \zeta_j} - \frac{\sigma_3 \sigma_2 G^{-1}(x, t, \zeta_j)^T \sigma_2 \sigma_3}{\zeta + \zeta_j} \right],$$

Eliminating A_j from (3.29) and (3.32), and using Lemma 3.1 together with the fact that $\psi_{j-1}^{-1} D_{j-1} \dots D_1 = \psi_0^{-1}$ in the resulting equation, we find that

$$(3.35) \quad \sigma_2 G^{-1}(x, t, \zeta_j)^T \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} r_j(x, t) \\ s_j(x, t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_j & b_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \psi_0^{-1}(x, t, \zeta_j)$$

for some functions $r_j(x, t), s_j(x, t)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$. Evaluating equation (3.34) at $\zeta = \bar{\zeta}_k$, and using (3.35) we find

$$(3.36) \quad \begin{aligned} G(x, t, \bar{\zeta}_k) = I + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{a_j} \left[\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}_k - \zeta_j} \begin{pmatrix} r_j(x, t) \\ s_j(x, t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_j & b_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \psi_0^{-1}(x, t, \zeta_j) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}_k + \zeta_j} \sigma_3 \begin{pmatrix} r_j(x, t) \\ s_j(x, t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_j & b_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \psi_0^{-1}(x, t, \zeta_j) \sigma_3 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

But the symmetry (3.4) together with (3.35) shows that

$$(3.37) \quad G(x, t, \bar{\zeta}_k) = \sigma_2 \begin{pmatrix} \overline{r_k(x, t)} \\ \overline{s_k(x, t)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_k & \bar{b}_k^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \overline{\psi_0^{-1}(x, t, \zeta_k)} \sigma_2,$$

so that multiplying equation (3.36) by $\sigma_2 \overline{\psi_0(x, t, \zeta_k)} (\bar{b}_k^{-1} \quad -\bar{b}_k)^T$ from the right, the left-hand side disappears and we are left with

$$(3.38) \quad 0 = \sigma_2 \overline{\psi_0(x, t, \zeta_k)} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_k^{-1} \\ -\bar{b}_k \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{a_j} \left[\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}_k - \zeta_j} \begin{pmatrix} r_j(x, t) \\ s_j(x, t) \end{pmatrix} (b_j \quad b_j^{-1}) \psi_0^{-1}(x, t, \zeta_j) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}_k + \zeta_j} \sigma_3 \begin{pmatrix} r_j(x, t) \\ s_j(x, t) \end{pmatrix} (b_j \quad b_j^{-1}) \psi_0^{-1}(x, t, \zeta_j) \sigma_3 \right] \sigma_2 \overline{\psi_0(x, t, \zeta_k)} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_k^{-1} \\ -\bar{b}_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

These are $2N$ algebraic scalar equations for the $2N$ unknowns $\{r_j(x, t), s_j(x, t)\}_1^N$. Given the seed eigenfunction ψ_0 , the solution of (3.38) expresses the r_j 's and the s_j 's in terms of $\{\zeta_j, b_j\}_1^N$. Thus, by (3.32) and (3.35), the A_j 's are determined in terms of the $2N$ complex constants $\{\zeta_j, b_j\}_1^N$. This yields the following result.

Proposition 3.2. *Let N be a positive integer and let $\{\zeta_j, b_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be nonzero complex constants such that $\zeta_j \neq \zeta_k$ for $j \neq k$. Assume that $u_0(x, t)$ satisfies equation (2.1) and let $\psi_0(x, t, \zeta)$ be an associated eigenfunction obeying the symmetries (2.4). Then the following function $u(x, t)$ is also a solution of equation (2.1):*

$$(3.39) \quad u(x, t) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^N (A_j(x, t))_{12} + u_0(x, t),$$

where the 2×2 -matrix valued function $A_j(x, t)$ is given by

$$(3.40) \quad A_j(x, t) = \frac{1}{a_j} \begin{pmatrix} r_j(x, t) \\ s_j(x, t) \end{pmatrix} (b_j \quad b_j^{-1}) \psi_0^{-1}(x, t, \zeta_j),$$

the constants $\{a_j\}_1^N$ are given by (3.33), and $\{r_j(x, t), s_j(x, t)\}_{j=1}^N$ are determined by the linear algebraic system of equations (3.38).

4. SOLITONS

In this section we apply the dressing method described in the previous section to the particular seed solution $u_0 = 0$ with corresponding eigenfunction $\psi_0 = \exp(-i\theta\sigma_3)$. The addition of $2N$ poles to ψ_0 yields the N -soliton solution of (2.1). Since in this case the solution of the algebraic system (3.38) can be expressed in a simple form, we find simple explicit formulas for the solitons.

Recall that $\theta = \theta(x, t, \zeta)$ was defined in (2.5). Substituting $u_0 = 0$ and $\psi_0 = \exp(-i\theta\sigma_3)$ into the equations (3.39) and (3.40), we find

$$(4.1) \quad u(x, t) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{r_j}{a_j f_j},$$

where $f_j := f_j(x, t) = b_j e^{i\theta(x, t, \zeta_j)}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$. On the other hand, for this choice of ψ_0 , the top entry of equation (3.38) yields

$$(4.2) \quad 2 \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{r_j K_{jk}}{a_j f_j} = \bar{f}_k^2, \quad k = 1, \dots, N,$$

where the entries of the $N \times N$ -matrix $K = K(x, t)$ are defined by

$$(4.3) \quad K_{jk} = \frac{1}{\zeta_j^2 - \bar{\zeta}_k^2} (\zeta_j f_j^2 \bar{f}_k^2 + \bar{\zeta}_k), \quad j, k = 1, \dots, N.$$

Combining (4.1) and (4.2), we find the following expression for the N -soliton solution.

Proposition 4.1. *The N -soliton solution $u_N(x, t)$ of the generalized NLS equation (2.1) is given explicitly by*

$$(4.4) \quad u_N(x, t) = \sum_{k,j=1}^N \bar{f}_k^2 (K^{-1})_{kj},$$

where the $N \times N$ -matrix $K = K(x, t)$ is defined by (4.3), the coefficients $\{f_j\}_1^N$ are given by

$$(4.5) \quad f_j := f_j(x, t) = b_j \exp \left(i \frac{1}{\zeta_j^2} x + i \left(\frac{1}{\zeta_j} - \frac{\zeta_j}{2} \right)^2 t \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

and the solution depends on the $2N$ complex parameters $\{b_j, \zeta_j\}_1^N$.

5. DNLS SOLITONS

The derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1.2) admits the Lax pair [4]

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_x + i \frac{1}{\zeta^2} \sigma_3 \psi = \frac{1}{\zeta} Q \psi, \\ \psi_t + 2i \frac{1}{\zeta^4} \sigma_3 \psi = \left(\frac{2}{\zeta^3} Q - \frac{i}{\zeta^2} Q^2 \sigma_3 - \frac{1}{\zeta} (i Q_x \sigma_3 - Q^3) \right) \psi, \end{cases}$$

where the 2×2 -matrix valued function $Q(x, t)$ is given by

$$(5.2) \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ -\bar{q} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we identify Q with U_x , then the x -part of this Lax pair coincides with the x -part of the Lax pair (2.2). A similar analysis that led to expression (4.4) for u_N applied to equations (3.10) and (3.18) yields (cf. [3])

$$(5.3) \quad u_{Nx} = -2i \left(\sum_{k,j=1}^N \frac{\bar{f}_k^2}{\zeta_j^2} (K^{-1})_{kj} \right) \left(1 + \sum_{k,j=1}^N \frac{1}{\zeta_k} (K^{-1})_{kj} \right),$$

where K and the f_j 's are defined as in Proposition 4.1. In view of the identification of Q with U_x , it is natural to expect the N -soliton solution q_N of the DNLS equation to be given by an expression similar to the right-hand side of (5.3). In fact, it was shown in [3] that $q_N(x, t)$ is given by the right-hand side of (5.3) with $f_j(x, t)$ replaced by

$$(5.4) \quad f_j(x, t) = b_j e^{i(\zeta_j^{-2} x + 2\zeta_j^{-4} t)}.$$

Now equations (4.4) and (5.3) imply that the right-hand side of (5.3) is the x -derivative of the right-hand side of (4.4) when f_j is given by (4.5). Since all the time-dependence in these equations lies in the exponents of f_j and $\bar{f}_j$ and is irrelevant for the differentiation with respect to x , this property holds also when

the f_j 's are given by (5.4). This yields the following simple expressions for the DNLS N -solitons.

Proposition 5.1. *The N -soliton solution $q_N(x, t)$ of the DNLS equation (1.2) is given explicitly by*

$$(5.5) \quad q_N(x, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\sum_{k,j=1}^N \bar{f}_k^2 (K^{-1})_{kj} \right),$$

where the $N \times N$ -matrix $K = K(x, t)$ is defined by (4.3), the coefficients $\{f_j\}_1^N$ are defined by (5.4), and the solution depends on the $2N$ complex parameters $\{b_j, \zeta_j\}_1^N$.

It can be checked explicitly for small values of N that the solitons u_N and q_N given by (4.4) and (5.5) indeed satisfy the generalized NLS equation (2.1) and the DNLS equation (1.2), respectively.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have implemented the dressing method to equation (2.1), which is equivalent to the generalized NLS equation (1.1). This provides a way of generating new solutions from already known ones. In particular, starting with the trivial solution $u_0 \equiv 0$, we arrived at the explicit expression (4.4) for the N -soliton solution. Since (1.1) is related by a gauge transformation to a member of the DNLS hierarchy, the construction bears similarities with the implementation of the dressing method to DNLS [3] (see also [10]). The presence of additional singularities in the t -part of the Lax pair makes the argument for (2.1) somewhat more complicated.

The link between equations (1.1) and (1.2) allowed us to find a simplification (see equation (5.5)) of the formulas for the DNLS N -solitons presented in [3]. The fact that the right-hand side of (5.5) can be expressed as a partial x -derivative is related to the fact that the DNLS hierarchy can be reformulated in terms of the potential $u = \partial_x^{-1} q$. Consequently, the solitons of members of this hierarchy can be expressed as x -derivatives of elementary functions cf. [9].

Acknowledgement *The author thanks the referees for valuable suggestions, A. S. Fokas for helpful discussions, and T. Tsuchida for bringing the reference [9] to his attention.*

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Camassa and D. D. Holm, An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993), 1661–1664.
- [2] A. S. Fokas, On a class of physically important integrable equations, *Phys. D* **87** (1995), 145–150.
- [3] N. N. Huang and Z. Y. Chen, Alfvén solitons, *J. Phys. A* **23** (1990), 439–453.
- [4] D. J. Kaup and A. C. Newell, An exact solution for a derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Math. Phys.* **19** (1978), 798–801.
- [5] J. Lenells, Exactly solvable model for nonlinear pulse propagation in optical fibers, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **123** (2009), 215–232.

- [6] J. Lenells and A. S. Fokas, On a novel integrable generalization of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Nonlinearity* **22** (2009), 11–27.
- [7] J. Lenells and A. S. Fokas, An integrable generalization of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the half-line and solitons, *Inverse problems* **25** (2009), 115006.
- [8] S. Novikov, S. V. Manakov, L. P. Pitaevskii, and V. E. Zakharov, Theory of solitons. The inverse scattering method. Consultants Bureau [Plenum], New York, 1984.
- [9] T. Tsuchida, New reductions of integrable matrix PDEs: $Sp(m)$ -invariant systems, *J. Math. Phys.*, to appear.
- [10] Y. Xiao, Note on the Darboux transformation for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Phys. A* **24** (1991), 363–366.

INSTITUT FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, WELFENGARTEN 1, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

E-mail address: lenells@ifam.uni-hannover.de